

Toward a typology of the ezafe: the Iranian ezafe as a type-raising functor

Shuan Osman Karim
karim.56@osu.edu

Ohio State University

Introduction

Structure

- The ezafe (modification marking)
 - The ezafe is an affix (canonically)
- Categorial Grammar (CG)
- The ezafe in CG
- The typology of the ezafe
- Synchronic typology ~ diachronic trajectory

The Ezafe

The basics

Attributive ezafe

- (1) dâñeshju-ye zerang
student-ez clever
New Persian (S): ‘the clever student’ (Thackston, 1993, 12)

Genitival ezafe

- (2) beg-ê diyarbekir-i
chief-ez.m.sg./gen DiyarBekir-sg.obl
Zazaki: ‘the chief of Diyarbekir’ (Paul (1998) apud Berz & Malmîsanij (1951), 51.24)

The ezafe is an affix

It competes with other morphemes for realization I

Kurmancî

- (3) jin-ê pirtûk xwend
woman-obl.sg.f book.dir.sg.f read.pst.3sg
“The woman read the book.”
- (4) jin-a jîr pirtûk xwend
woman-ez.sg.f(.obl) smart book.dir.sg.f read.pst.3sg
“The smart woman read the book.”
- (5) jin-a jîr pirtûk-ê di-xwîn-e
woman-ez.sg.f smart book-obl.sg.f ipfv-read.prss-3sg
“The smart woman reads the book.”

It competes with other morphemes for realization II

Paweyane

- (6) hær-î qawæ lwa baydað
donkey.dir.sg.m-ez./att brown go.pst.3sg Baghdad
The brown donkey went to Baghdad.
- (7) hær-ê lwê baydað
donkey-dir.pl.m go.pst.3sg Baghdad
The donkeys went to Baghdad.
- (8) hær-ê qawê lwê
donkey-dir.pl.m(.ez./att) browndir.pl go.pst.3sg
baydað
Baghdad
The brown donkeys went to Baghdad.

It features cumulative exponentense

Southern Zazaki

- (9) laj-o gird ~
 boy-dir.sg.m.ez/att big.sir.sg.m ~
 ‘the big boy’ ~

(10) laj-ê gird-i ~
 boy-obl.sg.m.ez(/att) big-obl.sg.m ~
 ‘the big boy’ ~

(11) laj-dê gird-i, etc.
 boy-gen.sg.m.ez(/att) big-obl.sg.m, etc.
 ‘the big boy,’ etc.

Categorial Grammar

AB grammar

$$\text{a. } \frac{\text{a; } \mathcal{F}; A/B \quad \text{b; } \mathcal{G}; B}{\text{a } \circ \text{ b; } \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}); A} /E$$

$$\text{b. } \frac{\text{a; } \mathcal{F}; B \setminus A \quad \text{b; } \mathcal{G}; B}{\text{b } \circ \text{ a; } \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}); A} \setminus E$$

English adjectives

book; *red;*

book'; $\lambda P \lambda x. red'(x) \wedge P(x);$

$$\frac{N \qquad N/N}{red \circ book; \qquad \qquad \qquad the;} /E$$

$\lambda x. red'(x) \wedge book'(x); \qquad \qquad \lambda P. \iota P;$

$$\frac{N \qquad NP/N}{the \circ red \circ book; \; \iota(\lambda x. red(x) \wedge book(x)); \; NP} /E$$

CG is lexicalist

- The syntactic functor (and corresponding semantic functor) are part of the lexical entry.
- Consequences:
 - Valence-changing derivations integrate syntactic categories into the paradigm: The causative of an $NP \setminus S$ is an $NP \setminus (NP \setminus S)$.
 - individual lexemes can have idiosyncratic combinatorics without issue:

kuřî çak ‘(a) good boy’ ($N < Adj$) vs.
çaktırîn kuřek ‘(the) best boy’ ($Adj < N$)

The ezafe in CG

Accounting for the ezafe in CG I

- Nouns:
 - Substantive: $(\pi; \mathbb{Q}P; NP)$

(12) Kuřêk hat. ‘A boy came.’
 - Possessive: $(\pi; \lambda x.x \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(QP); NP \setminus NP)$

(13) topî kuřêk ‘a boy’s ball’
 - Attributive: $(\pi; \lambda x.x \wedge P(x); NP \setminus NP)$

(14) minałêkî kuř ‘a boy child’

Accounting for the ezafe in CG II

- Adjective = Noun (in New Western Iranian)

- Substantive: $(\pi; \mathbb{Q}P; NP)$

(15) başêk hat. ‘A good (one) came.’

- Possessive: $(\pi; \lambda x.x \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(QP); NP \setminus NP)$

(16) topî başêk a good (one)’s ball.

- Attributive: $(\pi; \lambda x.x \wedge P(x); NP \setminus NP)$

(17) minałêkî baş ‘a good child’

Accounting for the ezafe in CG III

- Analytical goals:
 - 1 (inflected form) to 1 (meaning broadly)
 - The marked form should carry the meaning.
 - * $\frac{\text{top} \hat{\imath}; \sigma; NP_{EZ} \quad kuřek; \sigma; NP_{EZ} \setminus NP}{\text{top} \hat{\imath} \bullet kuřek; \sigma; NP}$
 - ✓ $\frac{\text{top} \hat{\imath}; \sigma; NP/NP \quad kuřek; \sigma; NP}{\text{top} \hat{\imath} \bullet kuřek; \sigma; NP}$
 - The syntax and semantics must be in lockstep (a feature of CG)

A partial paradigm of Soranî

kuřeke; $\iota(\lambda x.\text{boy}(x))$; NP ‘boy.def.sg’

kuřeke wazî eka ‘the boy is playing’

kuřek; $\exists(\lambda x.\text{boy}(x))$; NP ‘boy.indf.sg’

kuřek wazî eka ‘a boy is playing’

kuř; $\cap(\lambda x.\text{boy}(x))$; NP ‘boy.abs’

kuř wazî eka ‘boys play (generally)’

Generic = Absolute

- (18) minałêkî kuř ‘a boy child’
- (19) minałêkî kuř ‘a child of boys
(i.e. a doll that is played with by boys)’
- (20) kuř wazî eka ‘boys play (generally)’

The ad-attributive ezafe

kuřekeî; baş;

$$\lambda y[\iota(\lambda x[boy(x) \wedge^{\cup} y(x)])]; \cap(\lambda x_2.good(x_2));$$

$$\frac{NP/NP \qquad \qquad \qquad NP}{\text{kuřekeî } \circ \text{ baş}} /E$$

$$\lambda y[\iota(\lambda x[boy(x) \wedge^{\cup} y(x)])](\cap[\lambda x_2[good(x_2)]]); \dots \lambda\text{-conv.}$$

$$\iota(\lambda x[boy(x) \wedge^{\cup\cap} (\lambda x_2.good(x_2)(x))]); \dots {}^{\cup\cap}\text{-canc.}$$

$$\iota(\lambda x[boy(x) \wedge \lambda x_2.good(x_2)(x)]); \dots \lambda\text{-conv.}$$

$$\iota(\lambda x[boy(x) \wedge good(x)]); \dots$$

NP

The typology of the ezafe

Standard ezafat (Sorani, Hewrami, col. New Persian)

Canonical Ezafat	Prosody	Syntax	Semantics
Possessive Construct	N-ez;	NP/NP;	$\lambda y[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(y)])]$
Attributive Construct	N-ez;	NP/NP;	$\lambda y[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge {}^{\cup}y(x)])]$
Definite Ezafat			
Definite Att. Construct	N-ez;	NP/NP;	$\lambda y[let\langle \mathbb{Q}, P_{Adj} \rangle := y \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge P_{Adj}(x)])]$

Standard ezafat (Soranî, Hewramî, col. New Persian)

Canonical Ezafat	Prosody	Syntax	Semantics
Possessive Construct	N-ez;	NP/NP;	$\lambda y[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(y)])]$
Attributive Construct	N-ez;	NP/NP;	$\lambda y[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge {}^\cup y(x)])]$
Definite Ezafat			
Definite Att. Construct	N-ez;	NP/NP;	$\lambda y[let\langle \mathbb{Q}, P_{Adj} \rangle := y \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge P_{Adj}(x)])]$

- (21) æsp-^î zil ‘big horse’ (Holmberg & Odden, 2008, ex.1 mod.)
- (22) æsp-^û jæn-ækæî ‘the woman’s horse’ (Holmberg & Odden, 2008, ex.29 mod.)
- (23) æsp-^æ zil-ækæ ‘the horse’ (Holmberg & Odden, 2008, ex.6)

Secondary ezafat

Canonical Ezafat	Prosody	Syntax	Semantics
Possessive Construct	N-ez;	$NP/NP;$	$\lambda y[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(y)])]$
Attributive Construct	N-ez;	$NP/NP;$	$\lambda y[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge^{\cup} y(x)])]$
Secondary Ezafat			
Att. Floating Construct	(=)ez;	$NP \setminus (NP/NP);$	$\lambda y[let \langle \mathbb{Q}, P \rangle := y in \lambda z[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P \wedge^{\cup} z])]]$
Pos. Floating Construct	(=)ez;	$NP \setminus (NP/NP);$	$\lambda y[let \langle \mathbb{Q}, P \rangle := y in \lambda z[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(z)])]]$

Secondary ezafat

Canonical Ezafat	Prosody	Syntax	Semantics
Possessive Construct	N-ez;	$NP/NP;$	$\lambda y[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(y)])]$
Attributive Construct	N-ez;	$NP/NP;$	$\lambda y[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge^{\cup} y(x)])]$
Secondary Ezafat			
Att. Floating Construct	(=)ez;	$NP \setminus (NP/NP);$	$\lambda y[let \langle \mathbb{Q}, P \rangle := y \text{ in } \lambda z[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P \wedge^{\cup} z])]]$
Pos. Floating Construct	(=)ez;	$NP \setminus (NP/NP);$	$\lambda y[let \langle \mathbb{Q}, P \rangle := y \text{ in } \lambda z[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(z)])]]$

- (24) keç-a şivan-ê baş
 girl-f.sg.ez shepherd-m.sg.ez good
 Kurmancî: ‘the [good shepherd]’s daughter’

- (25) keç-a şivan=a baş
 girl-f.sg.ez shepherd=f.sg.ez good
 Kurmancî: ‘the shepherd’s [good daughter].’

-a < *-áká-í; and -ê < *-aka-í

Secondary ezafat

- (26) kiç-a diy-a baş
 girl-f.sg.ez mother-f.sg.ez good
 Kurmancî: ‘the [good mother]’s daughter’
- (27) kiç-a diy-ê=ya baş
 girl-f.sg.ez mother=f.sg.ez good
 Kurmancî: ‘the mother’s [good daughter].’

Reverse ezafat

Reverse Ezafat	
Att. Anti-construct	Adj-attr; NP/NP ; $\lambda y[\text{let } \langle Q, P_N \rangle := y \text{ in } Q(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge P_{Adj}(x)])]$
Possessive State (gen)	N-gen; NP/NP ; $\lambda y[\text{let } \langle Q, P \rangle := y \text{ in } Q(\lambda x[P(x) \wedge R(x)(\iota(P_N))])]$

Reverse ezafat

Reverse Ezafat	
Att. Anti-construct	Adj-attr; NP/NP ; $\lambda y[\text{let}\langle Q, P_N \rangle := y \text{ in } Q(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge P_{Adj}(x)])]$
Possessive State (gen)	N-gen; NP/NP ; $\lambda y[\text{let}\langle Q, P \rangle := y \text{ in } Q(\lambda x[P(x) \wedge R(x)(\iota(P_N))])]$

- (28) mard-ēn zāg-ē
man-attr child-ind
T Balochi: ‘a man child’ (Axenov, 2006, ex.275
(translation altered))

- (29) mard-ay dil
man-gen heart
T Balochi: ‘the man’s heart’ (Axenov, 2006, ex.746)

-ēn < *-aina; and -ay < ?*asya (or perhaps *-ag + *īg)

**Synchronic typology ~ Diachronic
trajectory**

All ezafat

Reverse Ezafat			
Att. Anti-construct	Adj-attr; N-gen;	NP/NP; NP/NP;	$\lambda y[\text{let}\langle \mathbb{Q}, P_N \rangle := y \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge P_{Adj}(x)])]$ $\lambda y[\text{let}\langle \mathbb{Q}, P \rangle := y \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P(x) \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(\iota(P_N))])]$
Possessive State (gen)			↓
Definite Ezafat			
Definite Att. Construct	N-ez;	NP/NP;	$\lambda y[\text{let}\langle \mathbb{Q}, P_{Adj} \rangle := y \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge P_{Adj}(x)])]$
			↓
Canonical Ezafat			
Posessive Construct	N-ez;	NP/NP;	$\lambda y[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(y)])]$
Attributive Construct	N-ez;	NP/NP;	$\lambda y[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P_N(x) \wedge^{\cup} y(x)])]$
			↓
Secondary Ezafat			
Att. Floating Construct	(=)ez;	NP \ (NP/NP);	$\lambda y[\text{let}\langle \mathbb{Q}, P \rangle := y \text{ in } \lambda z[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P \wedge^{\cup} z])]]$
Pos. Floating Construct	(=)ez;	NP \ (NP/NP);	$\lambda y[\text{let}\langle \mathbb{Q}, P \rangle := y \text{ in } \lambda z[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda x[P \wedge \mathcal{R}(x)(z)])]]$

Thoughts and conclusions

- The ezafe is a derivational morpheme that converts a noun to an entity that requires a noun to be well formed.
 - This allows a 1 to 1 correspondence between inflected form and syntactic/semantic functor
 - The relationship between proposed functors mirrors what is known about the diachronic trajectory
- fallout from this approach
 - a CG approach that stores the syntactic functor in the lexicon suggests that morphology, the organizing principle of the lexicon, is in charge of the syntax
 - There may be the grounds for reimagining diachronic syntax

Zor Supastan ekem ‘Thank y’all
much!’

References I

- Ackerman, Farrell & Gregory T Stump. 2004. Paradigms and periphrastic expression: A Study in Realization-based Lexicalism. In Louisa Sadler & Andrew Spencer (eds.), Projecting morphology, 111–157. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- Axenov, Serge. 2006. The Balochi language of Turkmenistan a corpus-based grammatical description. Uppsala: Uppsala University dissertation.
- Berz, Koyo & Malmîsanij. 1951. Na xumxum a ... Uppsala: Jina Nû Yayınları.

References II

- Holmberg, Anders & David Odden. 2008. The Noun Phrase in Hawrami*. In Vida Samiian, Donald Stilo & Simin Karimi (eds.), *Aspects of iranian linguistics*, 129–152. Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Paul, Ludwig. 1998. Zazaki : Grammatik und Versuch einer Dialektologie. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- Thackston, Wheeler M. 1993. An introduction to Persian. Bethesda, Md.: Iranbooks.